

LINDA LINGLE  
GOVERNOR



CARLITO P. CALIBOSO  
CHAIRMAN

WAYNE H. KIMURA  
COMMISSIONER

JANET E. KAWELO  
COMMISSIONER

**STATE OF HAWAII**  
**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**  
**DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND FINANCE**  
465 S. KING STREET, #103  
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813

November 2, 2005

Bruce Nakamura, Esq.  
Kobayashi, Sugita & Goda  
999 Bishop Street, Suite 2600  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813-4430

Marc Rousseau, Esq.  
Cades Schutte LLP  
1000 Bishop Street, 1200  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Re: Docket No. 05-0002: In the Matter of Public Utilities Commission Instituting a Proceeding to Investigate the Issues and Requirements Raised by, and Contained in, Hawaii Revised Statutes 486H, as Amended

Gentlemen:

By Decision and Order No. 22056 filed on September 28, 2005, the Commission ordered the parties to this docket (Chevron U.S.A., Inc. ("Chevron"), Tesoro Hawaii Corporation ("Tesoro"), Shell Oil Company ("Shell"), the Hawaii Petroleum Marketers Association ("HPMA"), and the Division of Consumer Advocacy, Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs ("Consumer Advocate")) to "submit by November 1, 2005: (1) a marketing margin proposal further refining the class of trade approach suggested by ICF Consulting, or any [ ] other more appropriate marketing margin proposal based on suitable benchmarks consistent with HRS Chapter 486H; and (2) a proposal to adjust the HRS § 486H-13 factors to include the addition of ethanol blending requirements, which will take effect on or about April 2006."

By letter dated October 27, 2005, Shell requested a 30-day extension to submit the above-listed proposals. According to Shell, an extension of time is needed because "there has not been a sufficient amount of time to properly study and analyze the effects and impacts of the Gas Cap law as it relates to requested information in Order No. 22056." By letter dated October 28, 2005, HPMA also requested a 30-day extension to submit the above-listed proposals. Like Shell, HPMA asserts that "it needs more time to analyze and develop its recommendations and proposals for the Commission."

Bruce Nakamura, Esq.  
Marc Rousseau, Esq.  
November 2, 2005  
Page 2

The commission will treat Shell and HPMA's letter requests as motions for extension of time ("Motions") under Hawaii Administrative Rules ("HAR") §§ 6-61-23 and 6-61-41. HAR § 6-61-23(a)(1) allows the commission to enlarge a period by which a required act must be completed upon a showing of good cause provided that a written request is made before the expiration of the period originally prescribed.<sup>1</sup>

After reviewing the entire record, the commission grants the Motions and approves Shell and HPMA's requests for a 30-day extension of time to comply with Decision and Order No. 22056 (from November 1, 2005 to December 1, 2005). If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Stacey Kawasaki Djou at 586-2180.

Sincerely,



Carlito P. Caliboso  
Chairman

CPC:SKD:eh

c: Division of Consumer Advocacy  
Craig I. Nakanishi, Esq.  
Michael H. Lau, Esq.

---

<sup>1</sup>Motions that do not involve the final determination of a proceeding may be determined by the chairperson or commissioner. See HAR § 6-61-41(e).